

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/791,837	03/04/2004	Hisashi Otaka	01306.000123 3862		
5514 75	90 06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ARANA, LOUIS M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2859		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/791,83	10/791,837 OTAKA, HISASHI				
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Louis M. A	rana	2859			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				-			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2004</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by to	are: a)⊠ accep to the drawing(s) b correction is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/8 er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and claims 2-11 dependent therefrom are narrative because of the presence of language such as "at the beginning of opening the outer door". The terms "beginning" and "thereafter" suggest timing of events as opposed to structure that performs the functions recited by the claims at issue.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison P.N. 3,6613893 in view of Arai P.N. 6,145,828.

Art Unit: 2859

Morrison discloses a document handling apparatus having a sheet feed device.

Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 2 and corresponding description. An inner door or deck 75, has mounted therein a feed roller 101 that is urged against its pair 102 mounted on the inner body of the apparatus. Also provided is a cover or outer door 14. Both doors are rotatably secured to the apparatus main body.

The only difference between the prior art as represented by Morrison and the claims at issue is the operational relationship claimed between the two doors. That is Morrison is silent as to how the two doors move with respect to each other.

- 6. To link the doors operation however in an apparatus such as Morrison's, would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill in the art in view of Arai. Arai teaches in a very similar arrangement of doors a linkage 34. To provide such linkage in the Morrison apparatus would have been found advantageous to the artisan of ordinary skill in the art in view of the "single handed" operation for clearing jams as described by Arai.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshida, Yamamoto and Ahn et al. all disclose sheet feeding devices. Note the abstract and drawings of each of their disclosures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2859

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

lma 6/9/05